# Synchronization Under Periodic Modulation of Symmetric Double Square Wells in a Bistable Stochastic System

Asish K. Dhara<sup>1</sup> and S. R. Banerjee<sup>1</sup>

Received October 29, 1999; final December 11, 1999

The features of the first-passage-time density function are analyzed theoretically in terms of synchronization between noise and periodic signal in a symmetric double-square-well system. Resonance is demonstrated as a maximum synchronization between them. To have the maximum cooperation between signal and noise, it is shown that the noise strength at resonance should increase linearly with the frequency of the signal. The time scale of the process at resonance is also shown to increase linearly with the period of the signal.

**KEY WORDS:** Synchronization; stochastic resonance; first-passage-time density function.

Noise induced large response in bistable potential to a weak periodic signal commonly referred to as stochastic resonance (SR) attracts considerable interest during recent years.<sup>(1-3)</sup> Manifestation of SR is usually exhibited as non-monotonous behavior of power spectrum, the signal to noise ratio, the Fourier amplitude of the periodic component of the process, or as a similar behavior of the peak heights of the escape-time distribution related to switching between two wells, as a function of the noise strength.<sup>(4-9)</sup> However, the usage of the term "resonance" is then criticized based on the fact<sup>(4, 10)</sup> that the Kramers switching time for the unbiased process at the noise strength for which the maximum of spectral density or the amplitude at forcing frequency occurs is not equal to the period of the signal. One therefore would naturally be curious whether any characterisation of this phenomena in terms of our usual definition of resonance is possible as it was originally pictured.<sup>(11)</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, 1/AF, Bidhan Nagar, Calcutta-700064, India.

Recently, it has been found that such description is indeed possible.<sup>(12)</sup> Simulating the continuous stochastic process into a stochastic point process with the help of an analog circuit they<sup>(12)</sup> measure the strength of the first few peaks of the residence time distribution. It is found that when strength of the first peak is plotted as a function of the frequency of the signal keeping noise strength constant, it hits a maximum for that period which is equal to twice of the inverse of the Kramers rate for the unbiased process at that particular noise strength. Alternately, when strength of the first peak is plotted as a function of noise strength it attains a maximum at that value of the noise strength for which inverse of Kramers rate for the unbiased process is equal to the period of forcing signal. Subsequently, this resonant behavior of residence time distribution is theoretically developed<sup>(13)</sup> and also experimentally verified in the polarised emission of vertical cavity surface emitting laser.<sup>(14)</sup> In this paper we focus our attention on features of first passage time density function and analyse them in terms of synchronization theoretically.

Response of a bistable system embedded to a noisy environment under the influence of a periodic field is of our concern. The simplest model<sup>(15, 16)</sup> for the two-state spatially extended symmetric system is to consider a particle moving in the symmetric double square well potential V(x) under the influence of white noise. The potential V(x) is shown in Fig. 1. The influence of the external periodic field is usually described by the modulation of the potential well in the following fashion. The potential at any instant of time t for the left state is replaced by  $-|V_0| + A \cos \Omega t$  and that for the right state is replaced by  $-|V_0| - A \cos \Omega t$  with A,  $\Omega$  being the amplitude and frequency of the periodic signal and  $|V_0|$  is the barrier height when there is no modulation. To treat the problem analytically we, in particular resort to this simple model.

The Fokker-Planck equation (FPE) for the probability distribution function P(x, t) for position x of the particle at time t for this process is

$$\frac{\partial P(x,t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial S(x,t)}{\partial x}$$
(1a)

$$S(x, t) = -D \exp[-V(x, t)/D] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\exp\{V(x, t)/D\} P(x, t)\right]$$
(1b)

where *D* is strength of the white noise. It is clear that for the potential in Fig. 1,  $\partial V/\partial x = 0$  everywhere except at the discontinuous points. Therefore in each region of V(x, t) = constant, the FPE Eq. (1) reduces to simple diffusion equation. The solution in each region are to be matched with the continuity of probability current and jump conditions at the discontinuous



Fig. 1. Potential V(x, t) at time t as a function of x.

points [-ka/2, ka/2] at each time. These conditions are to be supplemented by the reflecting boundary conditions at the walls [-a(1+k/2), a(1+k/2)]. The problem thus reduces to finding a solution of the Fokker Planck equation with time-dependent boundary conditions. This particular feature makes the problem interesting too because no standard method to handle such problem is available in the literature.

In this paper we, however, are interested in first passage time density function (FPTDF) with mean first passage time (MFPT) being a important parameter of the process. To be specific, we concentrate on the events starting from  $x = x_i = -x_f$  and ending at  $x = x_f$ . As the potential is symmetric, the MFPT  $\langle t(-x_f \rightarrow x_f) \rangle$  would be same as  $\langle t(x_f \rightarrow -x_f) \rangle$ . The conditions that the required solution of Eq. (1) satisfies are:

$$P'(-a(1+k/2), t) = 0$$
(2a)

$$e^{[-|V_0|+A\cos\Omega t]/D}P(-ka/2-0,t) = P(-ka/2+0,t)$$
(2b)

$$P'(-ka/2 - 0, t) = P'(-ka/2 + 0, t)$$
(2c)

$$P(ka/2 - 0, t) = e^{[-|V_0| - A \cos \Omega t]/D} P(ka/2 + 0, t)$$
(2d)

$$P'(ka/2 - 0, t) = P'(ka/2 + 0, t)$$
(2e)

$$P(x_f, t) = 0 \tag{2f}$$

where prime over *P* in the above equations denote derivative with respect to *x*. Equation (2a) corresponds to reflecting boundary condition for the wall at x = -a(1 + k/2). As  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int \frac{\pm ka/2 + \epsilon}{\pm ka/2 - \epsilon} e^{V/D}S(x, t) dx$  vanishes, we

#### **Dhara and Banerjee**

obtain the jump conditions Eq. (2b) and Eq. (2d) at the discontinuous points  $x = \pm ka/2$ . Noting that  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\pm ka/2 - \epsilon}^{\pm ka/2 + \epsilon} (\partial S/\partial x) dx = -\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (\partial/\partial t) \int_{\pm ka/2 - \epsilon}^{\pm ka/2 - \epsilon} P(x, t) dx$ , and assuming that the probability to find a particle in the interval 2 $\epsilon$  goes to zero for  $\epsilon \to 0$ , the matching condition Eq. (2c) and Eq. (2e) for continuity of probability current at the discontinuous points  $x = \pm ka/2$  are obtained. Equation (2f) is the absorbing boundary condition at  $x = x_f$  for the process considered.

For (A/D) small, we expand the solution in each region as

$$P(x,t) = e^{\left[-(|V_0|/2D) - \lambda t - (A/D) g(t)\right]} \psi(x) \left[1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (A/D)^m f_m(x,t)\right]$$
(3)

with  $f_0 = 1$ , and g(t) being defined as

$$g(t) = \cos \Omega t; -a(1 + k/2) \leq x \leq -ka/2$$
  
= 0; -ka/2 < x < ka/2  
= - cos \Omega t; ka/2 \le x \le x\_f (4)

In Eq. (3),  $\lambda$  is some constant and  $f_m(x, t)$  are functions, which are to be determined. Substituting the ansatz (3) in Eq. (1) and equating equal powers of (A/D) we obtain the following set of equations in each region:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x)}{\partial x^2} = -\left(\lambda/D\right)\psi(x) \tag{5a}$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - D\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right] \left[\psi(x) f_n(x,t)\right] = \dot{g}(t) f_{n-1}(x,t); \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots$$
(5b)

with  $f_0 = \psi(x)$ . For small (A/D) we keep only Eq. (5a) which is obtained after equating the terms O(1). Substituting the approximate form of probability density in Eqs. (2a)–(2f) we obtain the following set of matching conditions for the functions  $\psi(x)$ .

$$\psi'_{\mu}(-a(1+k/2)) = 0 \tag{6a}$$

$$e^{[-|V_0|]/2D}\psi_{\mu}(-ka/2-0) = \psi_{\mu}(-ka/2+0)$$
(6b)

$$e^{[|V_0|]/2D}\psi'_{\mu}(-ka/2-0) = \psi'_{\mu}(-ka/2+0)$$
(6c)

$$\psi_{\mu}(ka/2 - 0) = e^{\left[-|V_0|\right]/2D}\psi_{\mu}(ka/2 + 0)$$
(6d)

$$\psi'_{\mu}(ka/2 - 0) = e^{[V_0]/2D} \psi'_{\mu}(ka/2 + 0)$$
(6e)

$$\psi_{\mu}(x_f) = 0 \tag{6f}$$

#### Synchronization in a Bistable Stochastic System

We note that the solutions of Eqs. (6a)–(6f) are the exact eigenfunctions of the corresponding unperturbed process with associated eigenvalues  $\lambda_{\mu}$ . Thus with this approximation the conditional probability for getting the particle at position x at time t when it is known to start from  $x = -x_f$  at  $t = t_0$  is

$$P(x, t \mid -x_f, t_0) = e^{-[V(x) - V(-x_f)]/2D} e^{-A[g(t) - \cos \Omega t_0]/D}$$
$$\times \sum_n e^{-\lambda_n (t - t_0)} \psi_n(x) \psi_n(-x_f)$$
(7)

where the value of V(x) and g(t) would be taken as the respective values of the region where x falls. We may note however that the approximate solution (7) does not correspond to the adiabatic theory proposed in ref. 7. As  $\{\psi_n\}$  form the complete set,  $P(x, t = t_0 + 0 | -x_f, t_0) = \delta(x + x_f)$  is automatically satisfied. The Eqs. (6a)–(6f) are readily solved to obtain the full set of eigenfunctions and corresponding eigenvalues. They express as

$$\begin{split} \psi_{\mu}(x) &= C_{\mu} \cos\{k_{\mu}[x + a(1 + k/2)]\}; -a(1 + k/2) \leqslant x < -ka/2, \quad (8a) \\ &= -C_{\mu}[e^{|V_{0}|/2D} \sin(k_{\mu}a) \sin\{k_{\mu}(x + ka/2)\} \\ &- e^{-|V_{0}|/2D} \cos(k_{\mu}a) \cos\{k_{\mu}(x + ka/2)\}]; -ka/2 < x < ka/2 \quad (8b) \\ &= C_{\mu}[\cos(k_{\mu}ka) \cos\{k_{\mu}[x + a(1 - k/2)]\} \\ &- e^{|V_{0}|/D} \sin(k_{\mu}ka) \sin(k_{\mu}a) \cos\{k_{\mu}(x - ka/2)\} \\ &- e^{-|V_{0}|/D} \sin(k_{\mu}ka) \cos(k_{\mu}a) \sin\{k_{\mu}(x - ka/2)\}]; ka/2 < x \leqslant x_{f} \\ &\qquad (8c) \end{split}$$

where constant  $C_{\mu}$  is determined from the normalisation condition

$$C^{2}_{\mu} \int_{-x_{f}}^{x_{f}} \psi^{2}_{\mu}(x) \, dx = 1 \tag{9}$$

The corresponding eigenvalues are determined from the transcendental equation

$$\cos(k_{\mu}ka)\cos\{k_{\mu}[x_{f} + a(1 - k/2)]\} - e^{|V_{0}|/D}\sin(k_{\mu}ka)\sin(k_{\mu}a)\cos\{k_{\mu}(x_{f} - ka/2)\} - e^{-|V_{0}|/D}\sin(k_{\mu}ka)\cos(k_{\mu}a)\sin\{k_{\mu}(x_{f} - ka/2)\} = 0$$
(10)

The expressions (8) and  $k_{\mu}$  obtained from Eq. (10) are substituted in Eq. (7) with  $\lambda_{\mu} = Dk_{\mu}^2$  to obtain the conditional probability  $P(x, t \mid -x_f, 0)$  at any point x at time t.

The survival probability at time t,  $S(t | t_0)$  can be obtained from Eq. (7) as

$$S(t \mid t_0) = \int_{-x_f}^{x_f} P(x, t \mid -x_f, t_0) \, dx \tag{11}$$

and the first passage time density function (FPTDF)  $g(t | t_0)$  is obtained from  $S(t | t_0)$  as

$$g(t \mid t_0) = -\frac{dS(t \mid t_0)}{dt}$$
(12)

Physically,  $g(t | t_0) dt$  gives the probability that the particle reaches  $x = x_f$  for the first time in the time interval t and t + dt starting from  $x = -x_f$  at  $t = t_0$ . As the expression of the conditional probability (7) is approximate and strictly valid for small (A/D), we should demand the necessary physical conditions like positivity, normalisability on  $g(t | t_0)$ . Different moments of  $g(t | t_0)$  can be readily calculated from the normalised  $g(t | t_0)$  as

$$\langle (t-t_0)^j \rangle = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} (t-t_0)^j g(t \mid t_0) dt; \qquad j=1, 2,...$$
 (13)

The calculations are done with the potential parameters, a = 1, k = 1,  $|V_0| = 0.25$ , A = 0.2. The particle is assumed to start at  $t_0 = 0$  from the initial point  $x_i = -1$  (mid point of the left well) and ends its journey at  $x_t = 1$  (mid point of the right well). Normalised FPTDF  $g(t) \equiv g(t \mid 0)$  as a function of t for fixed valued of signal frequency  $\Omega = 0.6$  and different values of  $(D/|V_0|)$  are plotted in Fig. 2. Multiple peaks are observed for small values of noise strength and as noise strength increases, background over which the peaks occur also increases. g(t) extends for a longer time for small noise strength. The peak heights fall exponentially with time for fixed  $(D/|V_0|)$  and for small noise strength they take larger values. Successive peaks are separated by a time interval exactly equal to the period of the signal  $T_0$  showing that the probability of transition is maximum after each period. At these particular times cooperation between noise and the signal in making a transition is more. This figure clearly demonstrates the synchronizing behavior between the signal and noise. Peak areas (counting peaks from left) are also found to be decreasing with time. This fact offers an intuition that the peak areas could be a measure of synchronization



Fig. 2. FPTDF g(t) as a function of t for frequency  $\Omega = 0.6$  and  $(D/V_0) = 1.0, 2.0, 2.7, 3.2$ .

because the area directly gives the probability of transition within the time interval; the amount of synchronization is more in the beginning and after each period the synchronization occurs with lesser degree.

On subtracting the exponential background, the peak areas  $P_n = \int g(t) dt$  (defining the strength of the synchronization as the area of the peak), where the integration is done around *n*th. peak are plotted as a function of  $(D/|V_0|)$  in Fig. 3a. The figure demonstrates a non-monotonous behavior for each peak exhibiting a signature of maximum cooperation or synchronization between noise and external signal at specific value of noise strength for a particular signal frequency  $\Omega$ . The position of the maximum of  $P_n$  shifts towards lower value of noise strength as peak number increases. All curves merges to low D showing at very low noise strength transition would mainly be controlled by signal most of the time.



Fig. 3. (a) Peak areas as a function of  $(D/V_0)$  and (b) MFPT  $\langle t \rangle$  as a function of  $(D/V_0)$  with signal (A = 0.2) [line with filled circles] and without signal (A = 0) [line with open circles].

In a similar manner, for fixed  $(D/|V_0| = 1.9)$ , the normalised FPTDF g(t) are plotted for different signal frequencies in Fig. 4. It also shows peak structure over the background. As frequency increases, the peaks are more dominant and for a given frequency peak heights fall exponentially with time. Peaks are separated by the period  $T_0$  of the signal. After subtracting the exponential background, the peak area of the first peak (which is the most dominant) is plotted as a function of frequency of the signal in Fig. 5a. It also exhibits the non-monotonous behaviour. The curve shows that the synchronization is maximum at specific value of the signal frequency.

The non-monotonous behaviour of the peak strength as a function of noise strength or frequency clearly indicates that the cooperation between



Fig. 4. FPTDF g(t) as function of time t for  $D/V_0 = 1.9$  and  $\Omega = 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 1.2$ .

noise and signal becomes maximum at specific value of noise strength for given frequency or at specific value of signal frequency for given noise strength. This is the resonant behaviour in accordance with our usual convention. Thus this specific value of noise strength and signal frequency are denoted as  $D_{\rm res}$ ,  $\Omega_{\rm res}$  respectively.

As MFPT  $\langle t \rangle$  is a very important time scale in this noise induced transition, we also plot  $\langle t \rangle$  after evaluating them from Eq. (13) as a function of  $(D/|V_0|)$  in Fig. 3b and as a function of signal frequency  $\Omega$  in Fig. 5b. For the sake of comparison, MFPT when there is no signal (A=0), is also plotted as a function of  $(D/|V_0|)$  in Fig. 3b. The curves show a monotonous fall as noise strength or frequency increases except a little curb at low frequency.



Fig. 5. (a) Peak areas as a function of  $\Omega$  and (b) MFPT  $\langle t \rangle$  as a function of  $\Omega$ .

From the resonance values  $(D_{\rm res}/|V_0|)$  (for the first dominant peak) for different frequencies  $\Omega = 0.2$ , 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, we evaluate MFPT  $\langle t \rangle_{\rm res}$ and plot as a function of period  $T_0$  of the signal in Fig. 6. The points fit a straight line:  $\langle t \rangle = 0.686T_0 + 2.2$ . In order to have a comparison with MFPT for these resonant values  $(D_{\rm res}/|V_0|)$  for non-biased process (A = 0), these values are taken from Fig. 3b and plotted as a function of the period  $T_0$  of the signal in the same Fig. 6. They also fit straightline:  $\langle t \rangle = 0.384T_0 + 2.985$ . This figure shows that as period of the signal increases the resonant time scale increases exactly in a linear fashion.

We have seen that synchronization is maximum for specific noise strengths  $D_{\rm res}$  for different signal frequencies  $\Omega$ . The resonant values,  $D_{\rm res}$  is plotted as a function of signal frequency  $\Omega$  in Fig. 7. The curve is fitted to a straight line  $[D_{\rm res} = 0.425\Omega + 0.21]$ , which shows that as frequency increases, the noise strength for which resonance occurs also should increase linearly.



Fig. 6. MFPT at resonance  $\langle t \rangle_{\text{res}}$  as a function of period of the signal  $T_0$  with signal (A = 0.2) [line with filled squares] and without signal (A = 0) [line with filled circles].



Fig. 7. Noise strength at resonance  $D_{\rm res}$  as a function of frequency  $\Omega$ .

**Dhara and Banerjee** 

In conclusion, we analyse the stochastic synchronization in symmetric double square well system under the influence of periodic field theoretically. The features of FPTDF in terms of synchronization has been demonstrated explicitly. The analytic expression of the conditional probability could very well exhibit the resonance behaviour and synchronization between noise and periodic signal. The expression can be further approximated with only the first term in the summation by noting that the second and consequently second onward eigenvalues (as the eigenvalues are ordered) differ from it by a large amount. The eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  are plotted as a function of  $(|V_0|/D)$  in Fig. 8. The figure clearly shows that for a very large variation of the noise strength this approximation is fairly accurate.

In asymptotic expansion as given in Eq. (3) we consider only the first term. From Eq. (5b) one can estimate the magnitude of  $f_1$  by expanding  $\psi_r(x) f_1^{(r)}$  in the complete set of eigenfunctions  $\{\psi_n(x)\}\$  at each time *t*. It can be shown that  $f_1$  would be a periodic function and independent of position *x*. In fact,  $f_1^{(r)}(t) \sim \Omega \operatorname{Im}[e^{i\Omega t}/(\lambda_r + i\Omega)]$  and consequently  $|f_1^{(r)}(t)| < 1/[1 + (\lambda_r/\Omega)^2]^{1/2} < 1$ . Thus for small (A/D) the approximation (7) is fairly good.

The process (1) has in built scaling properties. When we change time as  $t \to Dt$ ,  $|V_0|$  would change to  $(|V_0|/D)$ ,  $\Omega \to (\Omega/D)$ ,  $A \to (A/D)$  respectively.



Fig. 8. Eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  as a function of  $(|V_0|/D)$ .

### Synchronization in a Bistable Stochastic System

It is to be noted that as the Fokker Planck equation Eq. (1) is explicitly time-dependent, the probability density function would not be homogeneous in time. It would depend explicitly on initial time  $t_0$  as in Eq. (7). In experiment, however, it is difficult to control the initial time  $t_0$  provided the frequency of the signal is small. One therefore should take the average of the probability distribution function with respect to initial time  $t_0$ . If  $t_0$  is chosen with uniform probability over the signal period  $T_0$ , we would get the average conditional probability distribution function as

$$\langle P(x, \tau \mid -x_f) \rangle = \frac{1}{T_0} \int_0^{T_0} dt_0 P(x, t_0 + \tau \mid -x_f, t_0)$$
 (14)

The integration in Eq. (14) an be performed easily and we obtain

$$\langle P(x, \tau \mid -x_f, 0) \rangle$$
  
=  $e^{-[V(x) - V(-x_f)]/2D} K(\tau) \sum_n e^{-\lambda_n (t - t_0)} \psi_n(x) \psi_n(-x_f)$  (15)

where  $K(\tau)$  in Eq. (15) is given by

$$K(\tau) = I_0(-A/D) I_0(A/D) + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} I_m(-A/D) \cos m\Omega \tau I_m(A/D);$$
  

$$-a(1+k/2) \leq x \leq -ka/2,$$
  

$$= I_0(A/D); -k/2 < x < ka/2$$
  

$$= I_0(A/D) I_0(A/D) + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} I_m(A/D) \cos m\Omega \tau I_m(A/D);$$
  

$$ka/2 \leq x \leq x_f$$
(16)

where  $I_n(x)$  is the modified Bessel function of integer order *n*. For small (A/D) values the factor  $K(\tau)$  could be approximated very well as  $\exp[-a\{g(\tau)-1\}/D]$  with *a* being a reduced value of *A* and  $g(\tau)$  being defined as in Eq. (4). Therefore, with the introduction of the average over initial time we again get back the similar expression for conditional probability distribution as in Eq. (7). Thus all the general features which have been obtained before with arbitrarily chosen fixed value of *A*, would be similar even if we take the average with respect to initial time.

The MFPT of the process at resonance is shown to increase linearly with the period of the signal. The resonant noise strength is also shown to increase linearly with frequency of the signal. This fact might help the experimentalists in studying the resonance behaviour in complex systems where tuning the frequency is a convenient task than varying the internal noise or temperature.

## REFERENCES

- F. Moss, A. Bulsara, and M. Schlesinger, Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Research Workshop on Stochastic Resonance in Physics and Biology, J. Stat. Phys. 70(1/2): (1993).
- 2. L. Gammaitoni, P. Hänggi, P. Jung, and F. Marchesoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70:223 (1998).
- 3. M. Grifoni and P. Hänggi, Phys. Rep. 304:229 (1998).
- 4. B. McNamara and K. Wiesenfeld, Phys. Rev. A 39:4854 (1989).
- 5. Hu Gang, G. Nicolis, and C. Nicolis, Phys. Rev. A 42:2030 (1990).
- 6. P. Jung and P. Hänggi, Europhys. Lett. 8:505 (1989).
- 7. P. Jung and P. Hänggi, Phys. Rev. A 44:8032 (1991).
- 8. V. Berdichevsky and M. Gitterman, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29:L447 (1996).
- 9. T. Zhou, F. Moss, and P. Jung, Phys. Rev. A 42:3161 (1990).
- L. Gammaitoni, F. Marchesoni, M. Martinelli, L. Pardi and S. Santucci, *Phys. Lett. A* 158:489 (1991).
- 11. R. Benzi, G. Parisi, A. Sutera, and A. Vulpiani, Tellus 34:10 (1982).
- 12. L. Gammaitoni, F. Marchesoni, and S. Santucci, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74:1052 (1995).
- 13. Mee H. Choi, R. F. Fox, and P. Jung, Phys. Rev. E 57:6335 (1998).
- 14. G. Giacomelli, F. Marin, and I. Rabbiosi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82:675 (1999).
- 15. M. Mörsch, H. Risken, and H. D. Vollmer, Z. Physik B 32:245 (1979).
- 16. V. Berdichevsky and M. Gitterman, Phys. Rev. E 59:R9 (1999).